

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES – WASATCH FRONT WASTE AND RECYCLING DISTRICT (WFWRD)
MONTHLY MEETING MINUTES**

DATE/TIME	LOCATION	ATTENDEES
<p>Monday, October 24, 2022 9:00 a.m.</p> <p>Next Board Meeting Monday, November 24, 2022 6:00 p.m.</p>	<p>Public Works Building 604 West 6960 South Midvale, UT 84047</p>	<p><u>Board Members:</u> Sherrie Ohrn (Chair) - Herriman, Daniel Gibbons (Vice Chair) - Holladay, Anna Barbieri - Taylorsville, Cyndi Sharkey - Sandy, Diane Turner - Murray, Laurie Stringham - Salt Lake County, Scott Little - White City</p> <p><u>Participating Electronically:</u> Eric Barney - Magna, Keith Zuspan - Brighton, Patrick Schaeffer - Kearns, Robert Piñon - Emigration (<i>excused at 9:27 a.m.</i>) Tessa Stitzer - Copperton, Thom DeSirant - Millcreek (<i>arrived during Item 2.</i>)</p> <p><u>Excused:</u> Scott Bracken - Cottonwood Heights</p> <p><u>District & Support Staff:</u> Pam Roberts, General Manager Paul Korth, Finance Director Rachel Anderson, Legal Counsel Ashely Ashton, Human Resources Manager Catarina Garcia, Executive Assistant/Board Clerk David Ika, Operations Manager Matt Ferguson, Controller/Treasurer McKenna Tupa'i, Sustainability Coordinator Renee Plant, Administrative Manager Sione Tuione, Residential Recycling Collection & Sustainability Manager</p> <p><u>Public:</u> Patrick Craig - Salt Lake County, Justun Edwards - Herriman City, Christopher Bell - Salt Lake City</p>

AGENDA

Call to Order: Sherrie Ohrn, Board Chair
Roll Call: Catarina Garcia, Board Clerk

1. **Consent Items:**

1.1. September 26, 2022 Board Meeting Minutes (Approval Requested)

2. **Meeting Open for Public Comments:** *(Comments are limited to three minutes.)*

Public wishing to submit a comment to the Board of Trustees can do so by submitting their comment to the Board Clerk at CGarcia@wasatchfrontwaste.org before Monday, October 24th, 8:00 a.m. All comments must include the name and address of the individual making the comment. These comments will be read at the meeting as if the individual were present. Public comments can also be made in person or via Webex during this time.

3. **Business Items:**

3.1. 2022 3rd Quarter Financial Report, Paul Korth, Finance Director (Information/Direction Requested)

3.2. General Manager's Report, Pam Roberts (Information/Direction Requested)

- Recycling Updates
- City, Metro Township and Town Council Meeting Visits: 2023 Budget & Fee Increases

3.3. Tentative Adoption of the 2023 Tentative Budget and Fee Schedule, Pam Roberts, General Manager and Paul Korth, Finance Director (Board Action Requested)

3.4. Confirm the Date and Time for the Public Hearing to Allow Public Comment on the 2023 Budget and Fee Schedule scheduled on Monday, November 14th at 6:00 p.m. (Board Action Requested)

3.5. 2023 Board Meeting Calendar, Catarina Garcia, Board Clerk (Adoption Requested)

4. **Closed Session (If needed)**

The Board of Trustees may temporarily recess the meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, pending, or reasonable imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205

5. **Other Board Business**

This time is set aside to allow Board Members to share and discuss topics.

6. **Requested Items for the Next Board Meeting and Public Hearing, on Monday, November 14, 2022, at 6:00 p.m.**

- Public Hearing to Allow Public Comment on the Tentative 2023 Budget and Fee Increases
- Staff Review of the Tentative 2023 Budget and Fee Increases
- Business Items and General Manager's Report
- At the Board's Option, Adopt the 2023 Budget and Fee Increases Pending Public Comments

TOPICS/ OBJECTIVES	KEY POINTS/ DECISIONS	ACTION ITEMS WHO – WHAT – BY WHEN	STATUS
Call to Order / Roll Call			
	Board Chair Ohrn called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m. and Catarina Garcia conducted the roll call.		
1. Consent Items			
1.1. September 26, 2022, Board Meeting Minutes (Approval Requested)	No comments or changes.	Motion to Approve: Board Vice Chair Gibbons Seconded by: Board Member Turner Vote: All in favor (no opposing or abstaining votes)	Approved October 24, 2022
2. Meeting Open for Public Comments (Comments are limited to 3 minutes)			
	No members of the public were logged in or present for comment.		

3. Business Items

3.1. 2022 3rd Quarter Financial Report, Paul Korth, Finance Director
(Information/Direction Requested)

Paul began his report with the YTD Financials for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2022.

Revenues Highlights: Total revenues increased \$211,000 from 2021 to 2022. The increase was primarily due to a \$99,000 loss on the sale of assets that was recorded in 2021. Also, in 2022 there were increases in residential waste collection fees of \$108,000 and green curbside fees of \$91,000, partly offset by an increase loss on investments of \$183,000.

Overall revenues are stable. Investments are down significantly which are expected due to the current market fluctuations. Interest income is up ~\$35,000 which should improve going forward.

Personnel Expenses Highlights: Total personnel expenses for 2022 increased \$426,000 from 2021 primarily due to an increase in wages and overtime of \$306,000 (increased hourly pay rates and increased employee headcount). Temporary labor decreased \$113,000, and wages/salaries increased ~\$400,000. These also cause increases in retirement/pension benefits. Total personnel expenses are 68.32% of the 2022 budget amounts.

Paul reminded the Board of our seasonality (summer is our busiest time), therefore, expenses are not consistent throughout the year.

Operating Expenses Highlights: Total operating expenses increased \$836,000 from 2021 to 2022. The increase was primarily due to increased fuel expense of \$80,000, increased maintenance expense of \$248,000, increased county interlocal expenses (truck lease) of \$191,000 and increased other expenses of \$243,000.

- A good portion of the increase in the other expenses was ~\$100,000 on the new website. Paul is glad we are asking for a fee increase due to these trends and the unknown future of the price of fuel and maintenance.
- Disposal fees have decreased slightly primarily due to less tonnages of ~4,000.
- Recycling fees look stable, but the trend is not very stable. They are continually increasing.
- Overall total operating expenses are 76.91% of the 2022 budget amounts. Property and liability insurance and rent are paid for the year. Bottom line revenues less expenses are (\$1,318,820) this year vs. (\$268,127) last year.

With no questions, Paul moved on to review

Number of Customers - Basic Service.

- 2019: 85,464
- 2020: 85,766
- 2021: 85,925
- 2022 YTD: 86,239

Fuel Expenses:

- 2019: \$765,274 (stable)
- 2020: \$793,752 (stable)
- 2021: \$857,112 (increase)
- 2022 YTD: \$936,981 (~9% increase)
- So far in 2022 WFWRD has purchased 10% diesel gallons and 90% CNG gallons

Board Member Little asked if it because we are serving more customers. Paul responded that we used *less* fuel so far this year, due in part to the SCRP (Seasonal Container Reservation Program). Fewer trucks and fewer employees.

Board Chair Ohrn added that if we saw the normal percent of gallons that number would be even higher, which would be scary.

This time last year diesel was \$3.22 per gallon, this year it is \$4.77 per gallon. Last year CNG was \$1.70 per gallon, this year it is \$1.85 per gallon.

Board Member Stringham asked if there was a way to see gallons used vs. cost. Paul responded yes, he has the data and will add it to the report.

Paul noted that last year we used 454,000 gallons of fuel and this year we have used 439,000 gallons of fuel.

Board Chair Ohrn added that even CNG trends indicate a continued rise. Paul agreed, and Pam noted CNG is forecasted at \$2.00 per gallon for 2023.

Board Member Barbieri then asked if we are bringing in the same tonnage for SCRP. Paul replied no, our SCRP refuse tons have dropped ~2,000 tons. Pam added that it is in part due to short-staffing, fewer containers being delivered and partial loads.

Board Member Barbieri expressed interest in seeing need vs. use. If the need isn't there, even in her own City of Taylorsville, could we save some money by giving fewer containers.

Board Chair Ohrn agrees all that information needs to be a component of what we discussed in the last Board Meeting.

Pam confirmed to Board Member Stringham yes, there is a waiting list. Board Member Barbieri commented on seeing many partial loads.

Board Member Little and Board Chair Ohrn agree this is partially a result of how we are running the program (driveway vs. street). Street containers were always full.

Paul moved on to review **Maintenance Expense:**

- 2019: \$2,313,599
- 2020: \$2,575,003 (~11% increase)
- 2021: \$2,493,438 (~3% decrease)
- 2022 YTD: \$2,741,194 (~10% increase and anticipate the same trend for 2023)

Board Member Little asked if most of it is our costs. Paul confirmed yes, and we have a larger fleet now, partly by design, keeping aging trucks longer.

Paul confirmed Board Chair Ohrn's assumption that part of the decrease in 2021 was the inability to get parts.

Board Member Stringham asked if this was a catch-up or, will we see this increase each year or, will it stabilize. Paul replied that we do not expect to see any improvements for at least a year. Salt Lake County Fleet is still waiting on parts, and it may be 2024 before we see improvements, dependent on the economy.

Paul then reported on:

- **Refuse Tons:** 97,000 total tons last year vs. 93,162 tons this YTD. ~2,000 of which is due to reduced SCRP.
- **Recycle Tons:** Trends have continued to decrease slightly each year. This year we are down ~3% at 14,686 tons.
 - Board Member Stringham asked if this is because people are more educated about recycling. Pam replied yes, that is a piece of it, and there is a decline in contamination rates with less garbage going in the recycling cans. She confirmed this with Sione Tuione, Recycling Collections Manager
- **Recycle Cost Per Ton:** June 2022 we paid \$15 per ton, \$20 per ton in July, \$38 per ton in August, and \$62 per ton in September. The 2023 budget number was adjusted to \$40 per ton from \$35 per ton. Paul stated that Pam will address this specifically in her report.
 - Pam replied yes to Board Member Barbieri's question if this includes the vendor's energy costs and commodity markets, she will explain later in her General Manager's report.

- | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cash Balances 2019-2022: Paul reported we are down from last year of \$9.4 million to \$8.4 million this year. He reminded the Board sideload trucks were not available for purchase this year, vs. \$1.3 million we paid last year. We received two frontload trucks this year and paid the second half of that payment. Our cash balance is decreasing which we anticipated over time. Our investments are liquid, meaning we can access them if needed.• Capital Expenditures for 2022: Eight sideload trucks increased \$63,656 from \$2,475,144 to \$2,808,800 which we will not be spending this year, making our cash balance appear significantly higher. We were notified they are not available this year, and the vendor cannot honor our original price. Staff believes it is beneficial to keep aging trucks and monitor the maintenance costs. Paul added that in 2018 we paid \$313,000 per sideload truck. For 2022 we budgeted \$351,000 per sideload truck which we did not receive, and next year the per sideload truck price will be \$425,000. Nearly a \$100,000 per sideload truck increase in two years. Pam reminded the Board we even modified the light-duty truck specs to flatbeds.• Certification Balances as of September 30, 2022: We certified \$2,226,008 and anticipate receiving ~\$1.8-\$1.9 million of that in December. | | |
|--|--|--|--|

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customer Refunds for the 3rd Quarter of 2022: Checks issued to customers: \$3,259.71 and Xpress Bill Pay: \$2,242.80 totaling \$5,502.51. A big portion of that is a customer who erroneously sent us their mortgage payment. Paul also reiterated our commitment to quickly return overpayments. <p>With no questions, Pam thanked Paul for his report and moved on to Item 3.2 below.</p>		
<p>3.2. General Manager’s Report, Pam Roberts (Information/Direction Requested)</p>	<p>Pam began her report with Recycling Updates. Starting in July, WFWRD began receiving increased pricing for processing recycling at both local vendor locations. The highest pricing occurred in September, with pricing inching towards the amounts we experienced in 2019. She reviewed the three-year average per month for the two local vendors and explained the pricing index based on the per ton cost for processing and it is also related to the commodity markets.</p> <p>Regarding Board Member Barbieri’s question about increased energy costs, Pam explained we received a request from Vendor A to increase their base fee to ~\$100 per ton beginning December 01. Vendor B has contractual verbiage allowing them to adjust their fees based on the general CPI (Consumer Price Index). Pam negotiated this when they initially asked to be based on the much higher garbage and recycling CPI.</p> <p>We get a price break depending how the commodities sell on the market and Sione Tuione does a great job monitoring the nationwide commodity markets.</p>		

Recently, the market values for cardboard and paper have changed. Globally, the demand for cardboard has ultimately come to a stop in India and China, which has suspended selling commodities to foreign markets. We also look at fuel costs. Imagine the cost of shipping containers overseas. They may not even go over if they aren't going to return full. The commodities are staying in the domestic mills.

There are domestic mills buying paper and cardboard, however, there is a backlog – more supply than demand. Purchasers like Amazon only purchase about three months of products. Paper mills are stockpiling about two months' worth. Our local vendors are stockpiling as well. This is happening nationwide with other vendors.

The costs are partially offset by the values of other commodities such as tin, aluminum, and plastics, i.e., beverage bottles and jugs such as detergent, dish soap, and milk.

Current forecasting tells us that it could be as late as March 2023 before we see a marked improvement in markets and these commodities moving at a faster pace to be purchased for reused/recycling.

Pam reported that Staff Recommendations are to stay the course, just as we did in 2019 and 2020 when commodity markets slowed and WFWRD paid more to have recycling processed by both vendors. We have enough under expenditures in the 2022 budget to cover the increased costs through the end of the year. We anticipate spending more through the first quarter of 2023 with improved markets coming on thereafter.

Board Member Sharkey asked how often vendors change their pricing. Pam responded that Vendor A is annually. Vendor B has not raised their base fee since entering in our last contract with them in 2018, however, we anticipate an increase because their costs are going up as well.

Board Member Sharkey commented on the volatility of the commodities market/supply and demand. Pam confirmed we are using this as an annual forecast, and we are not seeing changes every month or quarter.

Pam reiterated WFWRD's recommendation to ride it out understanding that in September we did pay more than we would have had we landfilled those commodities. We are not just looking at that price for processing, but the long-term price to the environment and the future economy. This is the philosophy she will continue to follow unless otherwise directed by the Board.

Board Chair Ohrn added that as discussed in the Pre-Board Meeting, we cannot just decide one month to send everything to the landfills. The logistics of any change like that has a price. It is easier to ride it out, the whole reason we recycle is exactly to Pam's point. Let's see what the market does.

Board Member Turner commented the environmental aspect is very important to the citizens she represents, and we need to stay hopeful for change.

Board Member Little asked if our recycling has become dirtier. He has seen commercials saying things no longer need to be washed/rinsed, and dirty pizza boxes are recyclable now.

Pam replied that Sione Tuione and McKenna Tupa'i, our sustainability experts, have reported that the contamination rate District-wide is an average of 27%. Sione replied to Board Member Little's question yes, this number has stayed consistent. Pam noted there are fluctuations, some areas are in the teens, some areas may be slightly higher.

Board Member Stringham asked why those ads are even being run, she has seen them on social media to not wash your recyclables. No one is taking or wants contaminated items. Board Member Little said likely national companies wanting everything recycled. Board Member Barbieri thought it may be an attempt to reduce water usage.

Pam is not familiar with the ads and asked McKenna, who also manages our social media, to investigate and respond. McKenna mentioned the "Plastic Recycling is a Myth" billboards many of us may have seen. The company posting that "Plastic Recycling is a Myth" creates reusable items and this is their attempt to get people to purchase them.
<https://www.grove.co/blog/plastic-recycling-is-a-myth>

Pam believes that while there is motive behind everything, the education we promote is that if it's empty, put it in the can. That goes for a pop bottle, containers, and cans. It does not have to be rinsed, per se. The Recycling 101 page on our website is also a great source of information.

Board Chair Ohrn requested an update on this information for the next meeting and Pam obliged.

Pam then moved on to **City, Metro Township and Town Council Meeting Visits: 2023 Budget & Fee Increases**, avenues to educate the public on our proposed budget and fee increase.

She reported to Millcreek very early in September and then White City. She and Paul have visited Kearns, Magna, and Copperton. Tomorrow they will visit Sandy and Emigration Canyon. The remaining Councils thereafter.

Pam reviewed the [report](#) on our website, pointing out our Mission and Vision:

“Our Mission: Provide sustainable quality integrated waste and recycling collection services for the health and safety of our community...because not everything fits in the can.”

- Health and safety of our community is very important to WFWRD. Piles in the street create contaminates in our storm drains, safety issues with materials in the road, scavengers pulling out materials, etc. We clean it up and haul it to processing centers.

	<p>“Our Vision: A sustainable, integrated organization that provides for the welfare of our community.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Our entire team makes everything possible, and we have a great team to get the job done. <p>Pam then reviewed the 2023 Budget Priorities that includes sustain services, manage increased costs, continuous improvements, and continued use of CNG to control costs.</p> <p>Cost increases in the highest expense categories since the last fee increase in 2018 of \$2.25 per home per month report has changed since our June Board Meeting as we did not have the tentative budget numbers included.</p> <p>Wages and overtime are up ~\$1.1 million which includes the two new FTEs in 2022 and three additional drivers approved by the Board.</p> <p>Other major categories are fuel, maintenance, disposal fees, recycling, and truck prices at \$425,340.</p> <p>Pam thanked the Board for their wisdom in knowing that costs would rise even higher in 2023 and that the initial recommended proposed increase of a \$2.00 per home per month was not going suffice. The Board recommended a \$2.50 per home per month increase.</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>Pam explained that we feel well-justified in the proposed increase as the report shows only the five main expense categories, not the entire budget, which is over \$24 million. That number divided by 86,000 homes would put us over the \$20 per home per month range.</p> <p>Pam responded to Board Chair Ohrn’s question that this document is the actual presentation she and Paul are using when visiting with each municipal Council.</p> <p>Pam asked the Board to notify her of any additional information they would like included for their respective Council Meetings.</p> <p>Pam confirmed to Board Member Sharkey that the \$3.45 is the actual projected cost increase, noting we are only proposing a \$2.50 per home per month increase.</p> <p>Paul reminded the Board that we did benefit from the 2018 increase, the report justifies we need an increase for 2023, and we want to stay ahead of costs and yes, we need this increase in 2023.</p> <p>Pam reiterated that the cash projections are 94% of what is budgeted/projected, and we have been unable to purchase sideload trucks. Next year we budgeted for 12 sideload trucks at \$425,340 per truck. We know we won’t be able to get 16 trucks to do catch up on the 8 and 8 replacement schedule.</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<p>We've been guaranteed 4, possibly as high as 8-10. There are so many "maybes" we are budgeting for the best-case scenario of 12 trucks. Understanding that if we don't get that number, we have some flexibility with having the dollar amounts in the budget if the price goes up.</p> <p>WFWRD does not want to have to come back to the Board requesting mid-year adjustments, unless we must, and Pam stressed we will <i>always</i> keep the Board informed.</p> <p>Board Chair Ohrn asked about the possibility of joining a co-op. Pam replied that we have been researching co-op contracts, working with Rachel Anderson, and Nick Hughes at State to find out for certain if we can use nation-wide partners and multiple vendors.</p>		
<p>3.3. Tentative Adoption of the 2023 Tentative Budget and Fee Schedule, Pam Roberts, General Manager and Paul Korth Finance Director (Board Action Requested)</p>	<p>Paul reported updates made to the Tentative Budget:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personnel Expenses (wages, overtime, benefits, Worker's Comp, pension, etc.): Decreased approximately \$31,000 based on health insurance quotes (3.3% actual increase vs. 5% previously quoted and budgeted as an increase) and dental insurance quotes (9% actual decrease vs. 5% previously quoted and budgeted as an increase). • Recycling Fees: Increase of approximately \$100,000 as previously discussed. • Depreciation: Decreased approximately \$600,000 due to 8 sideload trucks that were unavailable for purchase this year. • Capital Expenditures: Increased to \$5,350,976 as outlined below. 	<p>Motion to Approve with Changes: Board Member Stringham Seconded by: Board Member Barbieri</p> <p>Vote: All in favor (no opposing or abstaining votes)</p>	<p>Approved with Changes October 24, 2022</p>

Updates to the Tentative Cash Projections:

- Capital Expenditures 2022: (\$492,994) for the second payment on two frontload trucks and two flatbed light-duty trucks.
- This really changed ending cash and investments balance projections for 2022. Before we were projecting a decrease of \$2.8-\$3 million for 8 sideload trucks this year. Paul reminded the Board we must be aware of what created that, it's a matter of timing on the trucks.

Paul reviewed:

- 2023 Tentative Expenses by Type:
 - Personnel, maintenance, disposal fees, and depreciation.
- 2023 Tentative Capital Expenses:
 - Increases in sideload truck purchase price: \$70,000.00, \$425,340 each, x 12 = \$5,104,080
 - Three light duty trucks = \$211,896
 - One used hooklift truck = 35,000
 - Total: \$5,350,976
 - Paul added that WFWRD currently has 56 sideload trucks in operation.

Board Member Barbieri inquired how many full-time drivers are on staff. Pam and Paul replied there are 62 allocations this year and 65 next year. 20% of our drivers are off every day due to their four-day workweek.

Board Member Stringham asked if there is a price break for 12-15 trucks. Paul responded that we may have been able to ask that a couple years ago. He feels being a government entity with purchasing contracts has benefited WFWRD for years.

In the past we paid approximately \$45,000 for a Ford F350 Diesel 4x4 1-ton. Public prices would be \$30,000-\$40,000 more. He believes there is much more demand now and for-profit entities may prefer to sell a sideload truck for \$400,000 on the open-market than to WFWRD for \$350,000.

Board Chair Ohrn commented on riding it out, and this may shine a light on a cost benefit in a co-op agreement in the future. Rather than order 8 trucks, we may be able to partner with a company buying 800.

Pam then moved on to the 2023 Tentative Fee Increase Summary to meet the demands of the rising costs for providing services, and the 2023 General Fee Schedule that was reviewed at the last Board Meeting.

Board Member Sharkey has been thinking a lot about the SCRP we've spent so much time talking about. She is unsure anyone is completely happy or satisfied with the program right now. In Sandy, an outlier with 25,000-26,200 customers, only 600 are WFWRD customers. They would prefer to be removed from the SCRP based on lack of need and utilization and translate it to a \$1.25 per home per month fee reduction. That would offset half of the proposed fee increase.

Board Member Sharkey understands it is not an easy question to answer as all communities use the program differently. The fee increase is justified and understood, although she has concerns about adding a SCRP fee right now with inflation.

She appreciates all the efforts by WFWRD Staff to help everyone understand why we need increased fees.

Pam thanked Board Member Sharkey for her valid questions and points. WFWRD has had in-depth conversations about different rates for different areas based on usage and understands that anything is possible. We need to define the real costs for 2022 to determine the real impacts. The \$1.25 per month estimate is based on the costs for 2020 and 2021 and we need to determine actual costs for 2022 with fewer containers being delivered due to fewer drivers due to CDL driver shortages.

Board Vice Chair Gibbons also expressed his appreciation for Board Member Sharkey’s concern. What rings true is her initial statement that no one is fully satisfied with the SCRP. He feels that most or all Board members share the same sentiment. Changes have been forced upon us by economic realities. This is a topic beyond the 2023 tentative budget, which he thinks the Board should approve, and agrees with Board Member Sharkey this should be a major agenda item for the Board next year. Get creative and possibly consider a biennial program.

With no other discussion, Board Chair Ohrn agreed that it has been clearly stated and the Board understands this is a priority for them.

Board Member Little added that he understands the fee increase, and one thing important to him is that people receive the same service for the same price.

The program is somewhat limited and it's bothersome to him that people who are unable to use it the way they would like, pay the same as people who get a lot more use. He would like to see the level of services to an individual home tied to the price that resident pays.

Board Member Barney thanked Pam and Paul for their thoughtful consideration in preparing the budget. It is clear they tried to think through all the contingencies and issues that will arise. It is clear to him that they have been thoughtful and planful about potential future increases and how we account for those.

Board Member Barney reiterated the need to come to a final decision on the SCRP. It is a sensitive topic in his community with a lot of concerns, which Pam and Paul received at the recent Magna Metro Council Meeting. He gets hesitant when he hears people want to withdraw from the program and have it removed from their "contract" with WFWRD because it is not a one-for-one thing. The \$1.25 per home per month supplements but does not cover the total cost of the program. He also sympathizes with the idea some people don't get to take advantage of the program yet still pay for it. He does not know where middle ground is, but is not comfortable where we are presently, or with all the ideas voiced thus far.

Board Chair Ohrn thanked Board Member Barney for his input and reiterated there are so many good questions and concerns that have been raised. The Board needs to discuss and determine the best way to move forward.

	Pam shared her appreciation for everyone’s comments, concerns, and valuable time. WFWRD definitely wants to return with more information and really dive in. Please call her anytime with questions.		
3.4. Confirm the Date and Time for the Public Hearing to Allow Public Comment on the 2023 Budget and Fee Schedule scheduled on Monday, November 14th at 6:00 p.m. (Board Action Requested)	<i>*Board Member DeSirant abstained having been called away from the meeting.</i>	Motion to Approve: Board Member Stitzer Seconded by: Board Member Barney Abstained*: Board Member DeSirant	Approved October 24, 2022
3.5 2023 Board Meeting Calendar, Catarina Garcia, Board Clerk (Adoption Requested)	Pam explained the Board Meeting dates and times remain the fourth Monday of each month at 9:00 a.m. barring adjustments for holidays. Board Member Stitzer noted we still need to approve Item 3.4. The meeting returned to and approved Item 3.4.	Motion to Approve: Board Member Turner Seconded by: Board Member Stringham Vote: All in favor (no opposing or abstaining votes)	Approved October 24, 2022

4. Closed Session			
	No closed session required.		
5. Other Board Business			
	Board Member Stringham inquired about the dates of the upcoming UASD Conference. Pam responded it is next Wednesday, November 2nd through the morning on Friday, November 4th at the Davis Convention Center in Layton. Pam stated that she would send out the convention information after this meeting. No other Board business.		
6. Requested Items for the Next Board Meeting & Public Hearing on Monday, November 14, 2022, at 6:00 p.m.			
	Board Chair Ohrn reviewed the requested items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Hearing to Allow Public Comment on the Tentative 2023 Budget and Fee Increases • Staff Review of the Tentative 2023 Budget and Fee Increases • Business Items and General Manager’s Report • At the Board’s Option, Adopt the 2023 Budget and Fee Increases Pending Public Comments 		
Adjourn			
		Motion to Adjourn: Board Member Barbieri Seconded by: Board Member Sharkey Vote: All in favor (no opposing or abstaining votes) <i>Meeting end time: 10:18 a.m.</i>	Approved October 24, 2022